

# Sample Ballot

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

### STATE ELECTION

READING  
Pcts. 1, 6-8

*Mellon Francis Bellin*  
SECRETARY OF THE  
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

OFFICIAL  
ABSENTEE  
BALLOT  
Tuesday, November 6, 2018

1071/1071

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval  to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

#### SENATOR IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

ELIZABETH A. WARREN +++++ Democratic   
24 Lincoln St., Cambridge  
GEOFF DIEHL +++++ Republican   
10 Village Way, Welton  
SHIVA AYYADURAI +++++ Independent   
69 Snake Hill Rd., Belmont

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

Vote for ONE

BAKER and POLITO +++++ Republican   
GONZALEZ and PALFREY +++++ Democratic

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL

Vote for ONE

MAURA HEALEY +++++ Democratic   
40 Winthrop St., Boston  
JAMES R. McMAHON, III +++++ Republican   
14 Canal View Rd., Boston

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### SECRETARY OF STATE

Vote for ONE

WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN +++++ Democratic   
46 Lake St., Boston  
ANTHONY M. AMORE +++++ Republican   
102 North Ave., Southwick  
JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR. +++++ Green-Rainbow   
382 High St., Haverhill

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### TREASURER

Vote for ONE

DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG +++++ Democratic   
27 Hyatt St., Brookline  
KEIKO M. ORRALL +++++ Republican   
100 Crooked Ln., Lakeside  
JAMIE M. GUERIN +++++ Green-Rainbow   
386 Pleasant St., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### AUDITOR

Vote for ONE

SUZANNE M. BUMP +++++ Democratic   
9 Hox Shop St., Easton  
HELEN BRADY +++++ Republican   
1500 Monument St., Concord  
DANIEL FISHMAN +++++ Libertarian   
36 Colgate Rd., Beverly  
EDWARD J. STAMAS +++++ Green-Rainbow   
42 Laurel Park, Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

SETH MOULTON +++++ Democratic   
10 Forester St., Salem  
JOSEPH S. SCHNEIDER +++++ Republican   
1200 Southampton Pt., Beverly  
MARY JEAN CHARBONNEAU +++++ Independent   
8 Clavett St., Rockport

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### COUNCILLOR

Vote for ONE

TERRENCE W. KENNEDY +++++ Democratic   
13 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield  
VINCENT LAWRENCE DIXON +++++ Unaffiliated   
60 Lake St., Winchester

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

Vote for ONE

JASON M. LEWIS +++++ Democratic   
91 Church St., Winchester  
ERIN K. CALVO-BACCI +++++ Republican   
204 Main St., Reading

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

Vote for ONE

BRADLEY H. JONES, JR. +++++ Republican   
249 Park St., North Reading

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Vote for ONE

MARIAN T. RYAN +++++ Democratic   
8 Bradford Rd., Belmont

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### CLERK OF COURTS

Vote for ONE

MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN +++++ Democratic   
42 Huron Ave., Cambridge

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### REGISTER OF DEEDS

Vote for ONE

MARIA C. CURTATONE +++++ Democratic   
37 Munroe St., Somerville

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

##### SUMMARY

This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:

- In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
- In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
- In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
- In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
- In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
- In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.

The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.

The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.

The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.

The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

YES   
NO

VOTE BOTH SIDES

# Sample Ballot

# Sample Ballot

## QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

**A YES VOTE** would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the

YES

influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

NO

**A NO VOTE** would not create this commission.

## QUESTION 3 REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016?

### SUMMARY

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

**A YES VOTE** would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

YES

**A NO VOTE** would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

NO

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING

# Sample Ballot

# Sample Ballot

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

**STATE ELECTION**

**OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT**

**Tuesday, November 6, 2018**

**READING Pcts. 2-5**

**1195/1195**

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval  to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

**SENATOR IN CONGRESS**

**Vote for ONE**

**ELIZABETH A. WARREN** +++++ Democratic   
24 Lincoln St., Cambridge  
Candidate for Re-election

**GEOFF DIEHL** +++++ Republican   
10 Village Way, Welton

**SHIVA AYYADURAI** +++++ Independent   
69 Snake Hill Rd., Belmont

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR**

**Vote for ONE**

**BAKER and POLITO** +++++ Republican

**GONZALEZ and PALFREY** +++++ Democratic

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**ATTORNEY GENERAL**

**Vote for ONE**

**MAURA HEALEY** +++++ Democratic   
40 Winthrop St., Boston  
Candidate for Re-election

**JAMES R. McMAHON, III** +++++ Republican   
14 Canal View Rd., Boston

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**SECRETARY OF STATE**

**Vote for ONE**

**WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN** +++++ Democratic   
46 Lake St., Boston  
Candidate for Re-election

**ANTHONY M. AMORE** +++++ Republican   
102 North Ave., Southwick

**JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR.** +++++ Green-Rainbow   
382 High St., Holyoke

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**TREASURER**

**Vote for ONE**

**DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG** +++++ Democratic   
27 Hyattsgate Rd., Brookline  
Candidate for Re-election

**KEIKO M. ORRALL** +++++ Republican   
100 Crooked Ln., Uxbridge

**JAMIE M. GUERIN** +++++ Green-Rainbow   
386 Pleasant St., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**AUDITOR**

**Vote for ONE**

**SUZANNE M. BUMP** +++++ Democratic   
9 Hox Shop St., Easton  
Candidate for Re-election

**HELEN BRADY** +++++ Republican   
1530 Monument St., Concord

**DANIEL FISHMAN** +++++ Libertarian   
36 Colgate Rd., Beverly

**EDWARD J. STAMAS** +++++ Green-Rainbow   
42 Laurel Park, Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS**

**Vote for ONE**

**SETH MOULTON** +++++ Democratic   
10 Forester St., Salem  
Candidate for Re-election

**JOSEPH S. SCHNEIDER** +++++ Republican   
6300 Southampton Pt., Beverly

**MARY JEAN CHARBONNEAU** +++++ Independent   
8 Clavet St., Rockport

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**COUNCILLOR**

**Vote for ONE**

**TERRENCE W. KENNEDY** +++++ Democratic   
6300 Bradford Rd., Lynnfield  
Candidate for Re-election

**VINCENT LAWRENCE DIXON** +++++ Unaffiliated   
60 Lake St., Winchester

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT**

**Vote for ONE**

**JASON M. LEWIS** +++++ Democratic   
91 Church St., Winchester  
Candidate for Re-election

**ERIN K. CALVO-BACCI** +++++ Republican   
204 Main St., Reading

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT**

**Vote for ONE**

**RICHARD M. HAGGERTY** +++++ Democratic   
91 Leonard St., Woburn  
Candidate for Re-election

**CLAIRE M. MALAGUTI** +++++ Republican   
10 Colonial Rd., Woburn

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

**Vote for ONE**

**MARIAN T. RYAN** +++++ Democratic   
9 Bradford Rd., Belmont  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**CLERK OF COURTS**

**Vote for ONE**

**MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN** +++++ Democratic   
42 Haron Ave., Cambridge  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**REGISTER OF DEEDS**

**Vote for ONE**

**MARIA C. CURTATONE** +++++ Democratic   
37 Monroe St., Somerville  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

**QUESTION 1**

**LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:

- In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
- In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
- In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
- In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
- In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
- In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.

The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.

The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.

The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.

The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A **YES VOTE** would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A **NO VOTE** would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

YES   
NO

# Sample Ballot

# Sample Ballot

## QUESTION 2

### LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

#### SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

**A YES VOTE** would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the

YES

influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

NO

**A NO VOTE** would not create this commission.

## QUESTION 3

### REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016?

#### SUMMARY

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

**A YES VOTE** would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

YES

**A NO VOTE** would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

NO

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING

# Sample Ballot